





# **COVER PAGE AND DECLARATION**

	Master of Business Administration (M.B.A.)
Specialisation:	
Affiliated Center:	
Module Code & Module Title:	
Student's Full Name:	
Student ID:	
Word Count:	
Date of Submission:	
	assignment is my own work, is not copied from any other person's published), and has not been previously submitted for assessment
E-SIGNATURE:	
DATE:	

EIU Paris City Campus
Address: 59 Rue Lamarck, 75018 Paris, France | Tel: +33 144 857 317 | Mobile/WhatsApp: +33607591197 | Email: paris@eiu.ac

# **Table of Contents**

Streamlining Interdepartmental Processes in Shopping Center Management	3
Abstract	3
Rationale for the Research	5
Bureaucracy and Delays:	5
Communication Breakdowns:	5
Lack of Technology Integration:	6
Conflicting Priorities:	6
Inadequate Training:	6
Senior Management and Industry Knowledge:	7
Research Questions and Research Objectives	7
Literature Review	9
Critical analytical review of relevant past research	9
Interdepartmental Relationship Framework:	9
Soft Internal Marketing Architecture (SIMA):	10
Strategy Development Tool:	10
Collaboration and Communication:	11
Performance Evaluation and Strategy Development:	12
Employee Empowerment and Entrepreneurial Orientation:	12
Limitations and Considerations:	12
Methodology	13
Description of Participants of the Study	13
Departmental Representatives	13
Leasing Department	14
Finance Department	14
Operations Team	14
Administration	14
Legal Department	14
Maintenance Team	15
Senior Staff Decision Makers	15
Tonants	15

Departmental Representatives Leasing Department Finance Department Operations Team Administration Legal Department Maintenance Team Senior Staff Decision Makers Tenant Representatives Collaborative Workshops Inclusive Engagement Description of intervention (treatment) and/or data collection tool(s)/material(s) Methodology, Mixed-Methods Approach. Intervention (Treatment): Collaborative Workshops and Focus Groups Data Collection Tools/Materials: Surveys are distributed to a wide range of participants using various sampling methods: Collaborative Workshop Documentation Focus Group Discussions. Process Mapping Document Analysis Observations Data Analysis Ethical Considerations: Collaborative Dialogue Inclusive Engagement Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure. Initial surveys: Interviews: Focus group discussions: Document review:	Selection Criteria	16
Finance Department Operations Team Administration Legal Department Maintenance Team Senior Staff Decision Makers Tenant Representatives Collaborative Workshops Inclusive Engagement Description of intervention (treatment) and/or data collection tool(s)/material(s) Methodology, Mixed-Methods Approach Intervention (Treatment): Collaborative Workshops and Focus Groups Data Collection Tools/Materials: Surveys are distributed to a wide range of participants using various sampling methods: Collaborative Workshop Documentation Focus Group Discussions Process Mapping Document Analysis Observations Data Analysis Ethical Considerations: Collaborative Dialogue Inclusive Engagement Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure. Initial surveys: Interviews: Focus group discussions: Document review:	Departmental Representatives	16
Operations Team  Administration  Legal Department  Maintenance Team  Senior Staff Decision Makers  Tenant Representatives  Collaborative Workshops  Inclusive Engagement  Description of intervention (treatment) and/or data collection tool(s)/material(s)  Methodology, Mixed-Methods Approach  Intervention (Treatment): Collaborative Workshops and Focus Groups  Data Collection Tools/Materials:  Surveys are distributed to a wide range of participants using various sampling methods:  Collaborative Workshop Documentation  Focus Group Discussions  Process Mapping  Document Analysis  Observations  Data Analysis  Ethical Considerations:  Collaborative Dialogue  Inclusive Engagement  Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure.  Initial surveys:  Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Leasing Department	16
Administration Legal Department Maintenance Team Senior Staff Decision Makers Tenant Representatives Collaborative Workshops Inclusive Engagement Description of intervention (treatment) and/or data collection tool(s)/material(s) Methodology, Mixed-Methods Approach Intervention (Treatment): Collaborative Workshops and Focus Groups Data Collection Tools/Materials: Surveys are distributed to a wide range of participants using various sampling methods: Collaborative Workshop Documentation Focus Group Discussions. Process Mapping Document Analysis. Observations Data Analysis Ethical Considerations: Collaborative Dialogue Inclusive Engagement Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure. Initial surveys: Interviews: Focus group discussions: Document review:	Finance Department	16
Legal Department  Maintenance Team  Senior Staff Decision Makers  Tenant Representatives  Collaborative Workshops  Inclusive Engagement  Description of intervention (treatment) and/or data collection tool(s)/material(s)  Methodology, Mixed-Methods Approach  Intervention (Treatment): Collaborative Workshops and Focus Groups  Data Collection Tools/Materials:  Surveys are distributed to a wide range of participants using various sampling methods:  Collaborative Workshop Documentation  Focus Group Discussions  Process Mapping  Document Analysis  Observations  Data Analysis  Ethical Considerations:  Collaborative Dialogue  Inclusive Engagement  Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure.  Initial surveys:  Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Operations Team	17
Maintenance Team Senior Staff Decision Makers Tenant Representatives Collaborative Workshops Inclusive Engagement Description of intervention (treatment) and/or data collection tool(s)/material(s) Methodology, Mixed-Methods Approach Intervention (Treatment): Collaborative Workshops and Focus Groups Data Collection Tools/Materials: Surveys are distributed to a wide range of participants using various sampling methods: Collaborative Workshop Documentation Focus Group Discussions Process Mapping Document Analysis Observations Data Analysis Ethical Considerations: Collaborative Dialogue Inclusive Engagement Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure. Initial surveys: Interviews: Focus group discussions: Document review:	Administration	17
Senior Staff Decision Makers Tenant Representatives Collaborative Workshops Inclusive Engagement Description of intervention (treatment) and/or data collection tool(s)/material(s) Methodology, Mixed-Methods Approach Intervention (Treatment): Collaborative Workshops and Focus Groups Data Collection Tools/Materials: Surveys are distributed to a wide range of participants using various sampling methods: Collaborative Workshop Documentation Focus Group Discussions. Process Mapping Document Analysis Observations Data Analysis Ethical Considerations: Collaborative Dialogue Inclusive Engagement Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure. Initial surveys: Interviews: Focus group discussions: Document review:	Legal Department	17
Tenant Representatives  Collaborative Workshops  Inclusive Engagement  Description of intervention (treatment) and/or data collection tool(s)/material(s)  Methodology, Mixed-Methods Approach  Intervention (Treatment): Collaborative Workshops and Focus Groups  Data Collection Tools/Materials:  Surveys are distributed to a wide range of participants using various sampling methods:  Collaborative Workshop Documentation  Focus Group Discussions  Process Mapping  Document Analysis  Observations  Data Analysis  Ethical Considerations:  Collaborative Dialogue  Inclusive Engagement  Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure  Initial surveys:  Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Maintenance Team	17
Collaborative Workshops Inclusive Engagement Description of intervention (treatment) and/or data collection tool(s)/material(s) Methodology, Mixed-Methods Approach Intervention (Treatment): Collaborative Workshops and Focus Groups Data Collection Tools/Materials: Surveys are distributed to a wide range of participants using various sampling methods: Collaborative Workshop Documentation Focus Group Discussions Process Mapping Document Analysis Observations Data Analysis Ethical Considerations: Collaborative Dialogue Inclusive Engagement Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure. Initial surveys: Interviews: Focus group discussions: Document review:	Senior Staff Decision Makers	17
Inclusive Engagement Description of intervention (treatment) and/or data collection tool(s)/material(s)  Methodology, Mixed-Methods Approach. Intervention (Treatment): Collaborative Workshops and Focus Groups Data Collection Tools/Materials: Surveys are distributed to a wide range of participants using various sampling methods: Collaborative Workshop Documentation Focus Group Discussions.  Process Mapping Document Analysis Observations. Data Analysis Ethical Considerations: Collaborative Dialogue Inclusive Engagement Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure. Initial surveys: Interviews: Focus group discussions:	Tenant Representatives	17
Description of intervention (treatment) and/or data collection tool(s)/material(s)  Methodology, Mixed-Methods Approach.  Intervention (Treatment): Collaborative Workshops and Focus Groups	Collaborative Workshops	18
Methodology, Mixed-Methods Approach  Intervention (Treatment): Collaborative Workshops and Focus Groups  Data Collection Tools/Materials:  Surveys are distributed to a wide range of participants using various sampling methods:  Collaborative Workshop Documentation  Focus Group Discussions  Process Mapping  Document Analysis  Observations  Data Analysis  Ethical Considerations:  Collaborative Dialogue  Inclusive Engagement  Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure.  Initial surveys:  Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Inclusive Engagement	18
Intervention (Treatment): Collaborative Workshops and Focus Groups  Data Collection Tools/Materials:  Surveys are distributed to a wide range of participants using various sampling methods:  Collaborative Workshop Documentation  Focus Group Discussions  Process Mapping  Document Analysis  Observations  Data Analysis  Ethical Considerations:  Collaborative Dialogue  Inclusive Engagement  Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure.  Initial surveys:  Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Description of intervention (treatment) and/or data collection tool(s)/material(s)	18
Data Collection Tools/Materials:  Surveys are distributed to a wide range of participants using various sampling methods:  Collaborative Workshop Documentation  Focus Group Discussions.  Process Mapping.  Document Analysis.  Observations.  Data Analysis.  Ethical Considerations:  Collaborative Dialogue.  Inclusive Engagement.  Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure.  Initial surveys:  Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Methodology, Mixed-Methods Approach	19
Surveys are distributed to a wide range of participants using various sampling methods:  Collaborative Workshop Documentation  Focus Group Discussions  Process Mapping  Document Analysis  Observations  Data Analysis  Ethical Considerations:  Collaborative Dialogue  Inclusive Engagement  Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure.  Initial surveys:  Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Intervention (Treatment): Collaborative Workshops and Focus Groups	19
Collaborative Workshop Documentation  Focus Group Discussions  Process Mapping  Document Analysis  Observations  Data Analysis  Ethical Considerations:  Collaborative Dialogue  Inclusive Engagement  Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure.  Initial surveys:  Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Data Collection Tools/Materials:	19
Focus Group Discussions  Process Mapping  Document Analysis  Observations  Data Analysis  Ethical Considerations:  Collaborative Dialogue  Inclusive Engagement  Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure.  Initial surveys:  Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Surveys are distributed to a wide range of participants using various sampling methods:	19
Process Mapping  Document Analysis  Observations  Data Analysis  Ethical Considerations:  Collaborative Dialogue  Inclusive Engagement  Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure.  Initial surveys:  Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Collaborative Workshop Documentation	20
Document Analysis  Observations  Data Analysis  Ethical Considerations:  Collaborative Dialogue  Inclusive Engagement  Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure.  Initial surveys:  Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Focus Group Discussions	20
Observations  Data Analysis  Ethical Considerations:  Collaborative Dialogue  Inclusive Engagement  Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure.  Initial surveys:  Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Process Mapping	20
Data Analysis  Ethical Considerations:  Collaborative Dialogue  Inclusive Engagement  Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure.  Initial surveys:  Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Document Analysis	20
Ethical Considerations:  Collaborative Dialogue  Inclusive Engagement  Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure.  Initial surveys:  Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Observations	20
Collaborative Dialogue Inclusive Engagement Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure. Initial surveys: Interviews: Focus group discussions: Document review:	Data Analysis	20
Inclusive Engagement  Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure.  Initial surveys:  Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Ethical Considerations:	21
Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure.  Initial surveys:  Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Collaborative Dialogue	21
Initial surveys:  Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Inclusive Engagement	21
Interviews:  Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure	21
Focus group discussions:  Document review:	Initial surveys:	22
Document review:	Interviews:	22
	Focus group discussions:	23
Observation:	Document review:	23
	Observation:	24

Data Analysis & Presentation of Results of Findings	24
Quantitative Analysis:	25
Qualitative Analysis:	25
This process involved several stages:	26
Key Findings:	27
Presentation of Results:	27
Graphs and Charts	28
Comparative Analysis	28
Recommendations	28
Implications	28
Limitations	28
Conclusions, Implications and Recommendations	29
Conclusions:	29
Implications:	30
Recommendations:	30
Bureaucracy and Decision-Making:	30
Communication Enhancement:	31
Conflict Resolution:	31
Training and Skill Development:	31
Industry Knowledge for Senior Management:	32
External Expertise:	32
References	33

# **Streamlining Interdepartmental Processes in Shopping Center Management**

# **Abstract**

Streamlining Interdepartmental Processes in Shopping Center Management. In the realm of shopping center management, the complexity of interdepartmental processes often leads to challenges such as bureaucracy, communication breakdowns, technology gaps, conflicting priorities, inadequate training, and knowledge gaps among senior management. This research aims

to uncover these challenges, analyze their implications, and propose recommendations for enhancing operational efficiency and tenant satisfaction within shopping centers.

Bureaucracy and decision-making complexities arise from hierarchical structures, causing delays in obtaining approvals for tenant-related matters. Communication breakdowns between departments result from inadequate communication channels, leading to misunderstandings and incorrect decisions. Lack of technology integration hampers electronic information sharing and approval processes. Conflicting priorities among departments can hinder effective decision-making, while inadequate training for staff members leads to errors and oversights. Additionally, senior management's lack of industry knowledge can hinder strategic decisions aligned with industry needs.

The study's participants encompass department representatives, senior staff decision makers, and tenants. These stakeholders contribute diverse perspectives, shedding light on challenges, implications, and potential solutions. The research underscores the importance of addressing these challenges to maintain tenant satisfaction, optimize operations, and remain competitive in the retail industry.

The conclusions suggest that streamlining interdepartmental processes is vital for efficient decision-making and long-term success. Recommendations include simplifying bureaucratic structures, improving communication channels, integrating technology solutions, aligning departmental priorities, investing in comprehensive training, and enhancing industry knowledge among senior management. Implementing these measures can result in more efficient processes, better tenant relations, and improved operational outcomes within shopping centers.

# **Rationale for the Research**

# **Bureaucracy and Delays:**

In larger shopping centers, the decision-making process often becomes entangled in bureaucratic procedures and hierarchical structures. Bureaucracy refers to a formalized organizational structure characterized by layers of authority, standardized processes, and rigid rules. In shopping centers, this bureaucratic setup can result in complex decision-making processes that require multiple levels of approvals and coordination. For instance, obtaining approvals for tenant-related matters, such as lease modifications or tenant improvement projects, can become a lengthy process as decisions need to pass through various managerial levels. This convoluted process can lead to significant delays in obtaining necessary approvals, frustrating tenants and affecting operational efficiency. Tenants might face delays in launching their businesses or making necessary changes to their stores, impacting their revenues and overall satisfaction.

#### **Communication Breakdowns:**

The intricate structure of shopping center management often involves several departments, each responsible for specific functions such as leasing, maintenance, marketing, and finance. Miscommunication between these departments can occur due to various reasons such as lack of clear communication channels, misinterpretation of information, or inadequate information sharing. For instance, if a maintenance issue is reported to the wrong department, it might not receive immediate attention, leading to delays in addressing the problem. Such communication breakdowns can result in misunderstandings, incorrect decisions, and ultimately impact tenant satisfaction. Proper communication channels, clear reporting mechanisms, and regular cross-departmental meetings are essential to mitigate these challenges.

# **Lack of Technology Integration:**

Modern shopping centers operate in a technologically advanced environment. Those that fail to embrace advanced communication and collaboration tools can face difficulties in sharing information and obtaining approvals electronically. Without integrated systems, processes that could be streamlined electronically might rely on manual paperwork, leading to delays and inefficiencies. Shopping centers that invest in technology solutions, such as property management software or tenant portals, can enhance communication, expedite approval processes, and improve overall operational efficiency.

# **Conflicting Priorities:**

Different departments within shopping centers often have distinct priorities that may not always align. For example, the leasing department might be primarily concerned with maximizing rental revenue and attracting new tenants, while the maintenance department's main goal is to control costs and ensure the upkeep of the property. These conflicting priorities can create tensions within the organization and hinder decision-making. For instance, decisions related to capital expenditures on property improvements might face resistance from departments focused on cost containment. Effective communication and collaboration among departments, along with a shared understanding of the shopping center's overall objectives, are crucial in managing and reconciling these conflicting priorities.

# **Inadequate Training:**

The complexity of shopping center operations demands that staff members have a clear understanding of cross-departmental processes and the overall functioning of the center. Inadequate training can result in errors, oversights, and neglect of important tasks. For example, a staff member without proper training might mishandle a tenant complaint or fail to follow the

correct procedure for lease documentation. Investing in comprehensive training programs for employees across various departments can significantly mitigate these risks and contribute to smoother operations.

# **Senior Management and Industry Knowledge:**

When senior management lacks a deep understanding of the shopping center industry, their decisions might not align with the industry's specific needs and dynamics. Without relevant industry knowledge, decisions could inadvertently hinder the center's growth, profitability, and tenant satisfaction. In such cases, it's essential to bridge the knowledge gap by seeking external expertise or providing senior management with training and insights about the shopping center industry. This can help align decision-making with industry standards and best practices, ensuring the center's long-term success.

# **Research Questions and Research Objectives**

- How does the existing bureaucratic structure and hierarchical setup impact the speed and effectiveness of decision-making processes within the shopping center management?
- What specific examples of delays in obtaining approvals for tenant-related matters can be attributed to the current bureaucratic procedures? How have these delays affected tenant satisfaction and operational efficiency?
- What communication channels are currently in place to facilitate information sharing between different departments? How do breakdowns in communication occur, and what are the main factors contributing to miscommunication?

- In instances where miscommunication or lack of information sharing has led to misunderstandings or incorrect decisions? How have these instances impacted on the overall functioning of the shopping center?
- How does the lack of technology integration hinder the electronic sharing of information and approval processes? Are there examples where manual processes have caused significant delays in decision-making or operational tasks?
- Identify instances where conflicting priorities among different departments have led to difficulties in decision-making? How have these conflicts been resolved or managed in the past?
- What steps have been taken to foster effective communication and collaboration among departments with distinct priorities? Share any successful cases where departments with conflicting goals successfully aligned their efforts?
- How does inadequate training impact the daily operations of shopping center staff? Provide examples of errors or oversights that have occurred due to insufficient training?
- What training programs or initiatives are currently in place to address the complexity of cross-departmental processes and the overall functioning of the shopping center? How have these programs impacted staff performance and operational efficiency?
- How has the lack of industry knowledge among senior management affected decision-making within the shopping center? Provide specific instances where decisions did not align with industry needs and dynamics?

- What strategies can be implemented to bridge the knowledge gap for senior management and ensure their decisions are aligned with industry standards and best practices?
- In cases where external expertise has been sought to address gaps in industry knowledge, what were the outcomes of those collaborations? How did they contribute to the long-term success of the shopping center?

## **Literature Review**

# Critical analytical review of relevant past research.

1. The development of an Interdepartmental Relationship Framework, Soft Internal Marketing Architecture (SIMA) and Strategy Development Tool. (Retrieved from website)

The effectiveness of interdepartmental collaboration and communication within organizations has been a subject of significant scholarly interest. This literature review critically analyzes relevant past research focusing on the development of an Interdepartmental Relationship Framework, Soft Internal Marketing Architecture (SIMA), and Strategy Development Tool. These concepts aim to address the challenges of coordination, communication breakdowns, and conflicting priorities encountered in complex organizational structures.

## **Interdepartmental Relationship Framework:**

The concept of an Interdepartmental Relationship Framework underscores the importance of well-defined relationships between different departments within an organization. Research by McAllister (1995) emphasizes the role of trust as a crucial element in fostering effective interdepartmental collaboration. The framework posits that establishing mutual trust and shared goals among departments can enhance information sharing, reduce conflicts, and facilitate

smoother decision-making processes (Langfred, 2007). However, the implementation of such a framework requires attention to power dynamics, communication structures, and the willingness of departmental leaders to collaborate (Cross et al., 2002).

# **Soft Internal Marketing Architecture (SIMA):**

The Soft Internal Marketing Architecture (SIMA) approach aims to improve communication and cooperation by treating employees as internal customers. Research by Gronroos (1994) highlights the significance of internal marketing in enhancing employee satisfaction, which in turn positively influences customer satisfaction. The SIMA approach recognizes the value of effective communication, employee engagement, and shared organizational values in promoting a collaborative environment (Rafiq & Ahmed, 1993). However, critics argue that SIMA may oversimplify the complex dynamics of interdepartmental interactions by emphasizing marketing-oriented strategies over broader organizational objectives (Dabholkar, 2005).

#### **Strategy Development Tool:**

The Strategy Development Tool is designed to align departmental strategies with overarching organizational goals. Research by Mintzberg (1994) introduces the concept of emergent strategies, suggesting that organizations often adapt their strategies based on evolving circumstances. The Strategy Development Tool encourages departments to regularly assess their strategies and align them with the changing needs of the organization and the external environment (Nutt, 1999). However, implementing such a tool requires active participation from senior management and a commitment to transparency and information sharing (Makadok, 2001).

The development of an Interdepartmental Relationship Framework, Soft Internal Marketing Architecture (SIMA), and Strategy Development Tool reflects a comprehensive approach to

addressing challenges in interdepartmental coordination and collaboration. While research highlights their potential benefits in mitigating communication breakdowns and conflicting priorities, their successful implementation requires careful consideration of organizational culture, power dynamics, and leadership commitment. Future research could focus on empirical studies assessing the practical effectiveness of these frameworks and tools in real-world organizational contexts.

In contemporary business environments, the effective management of interdepartmental relationships, communication, and collaboration is critical for organizational success. The preliminary concept of an Intraprise Evaluation Dashboard represents a holistic approach towards assessing various dimensions of internal operations and performance. This literature review critically analyzes the key elements of the Intraprise Evaluation Dashboard, drawing insights from related research and exploring its potential implications for organizational management.

#### **Collaboration and Communication:**

The Intraprise Evaluation Dashboard addresses the challenges associated with interdepartmental collaboration and communication. Research on interdepartmental relationships underscores the importance of trust and shared goals (McAllister, 1995; Cross et al., 2002). The dashboard's measures of integration, collaboration, and communication provide a structured approach to quantifying and assessing these aspects. However, the dashboard's reliance on quantitative metrics might oversimplify the complex dynamics of human interactions within departments and could overlook qualitative aspects that contribute to effective collaboration.

# **Performance Evaluation and Strategy Development:**

The dashboard introduces a range of performance evaluation metrics, from cost savings to ROI and profitability. These metrics align with the strategic management concept of performance measurement (Nutt, 1999), allowing organizations to track their progress towards strategic goals. Furthermore, the inclusion of net profit margin and current ratio metrics reflects the dashboard's focus on financial health and stability. This approach resonates with the resource-based view of strategy development (Makadok, 2001), emphasizing the importance of leveraging internal resources for competitive advantage.

# **Employee Empowerment and Entrepreneurial Orientation:**

The Intraprise Evaluation Dashboard incorporates elements related to employee empowerment, self-esteem, and decision-making autonomy. These components align with the concept of internal marketing, emphasizing the role of employees as internal customers (Gronroos, 1994). The Entrepreneurial Orientation measure highlights the organization's willingness to foster innovation, risk-taking, and proactive behavior. However, measuring entrepreneurial orientation through multiplication with the frequency of entrepreneurship might oversimplify the multifaceted nature of innovation and entrepreneurship within an organization.

## **Limitations and Considerations:**

While the Intraprise Evaluation Dashboard offers a comprehensive framework for assessing internal performance, it presents certain limitations. First, the reliance on quantitative metrics might overlook qualitative factors that contribute to effective collaboration and innovation. Second, the dashboard assumes a universal applicability of measures, potentially overlooking industry-specific nuances. Third, the complexity of interdepartmental interactions might not be fully captured by a single set of metrics.

The preliminary concept of the Intraprise Evaluation Dashboard reflects a commendable effort to address the challenges of interdepartmental collaboration, communication breakdowns, and conflicting priorities. By encompassing a wide range of measures, the dashboard enables organizations to track their performance across multiple dimensions. However, careful consideration is needed to balance quantitative metrics with qualitative insights and to tailor the dashboard to the organization's unique context. As further research and development refine this concept, it holds the potential to become a valuable tool for organizations striving to optimize interdepartmental operations and enhance overall performance.

## **Methodology**

# **Description of Participants of the Study**

The action research focused on streamlining interdepartmental processes in shopping center management embraces a comprehensive range of participants, spanning various departments, senior staff decision makers, and even tenants. This diverse group contributes a multifaceted perspective that is vital for understanding the challenges, intricacies, and potential solutions required to optimize interdepartmental collaboration and operational efficiency within the shopping center.

#### **Departmental Representatives**

Representatives from each major department, including leasing, finance, operations, administration, legal, and maintenance, are key participants in the research. They offer insights into the specific challenges faced by their respective departments. For instance, leasing personnel can share experiences related to tenant negotiations, while maintenance staff can provide perspectives on timely service delivery. Each department contributes to the overall understanding of how interdepartmental processes impact their functions.

# **Leasing Department**

Leasing professionals play a critical role in tenant relations, occupancy, and contractual matters. Their input highlights the importance of efficient interdepartmental communication, particularly in tenant approvals, modifications, and the impact on tenant satisfaction.

# **Finance Department**

Financial experts bring their understanding of budgetary constraints, cost analysis, and financial implications. Their insights illuminate how streamlined processes can lead to effective resource allocation and improved financial outcomes.

# **Operations Team**

The operations team contributes insights into daily operations, facility management, and coordination across departments. Their feedback emphasizes the significance of clear communication and collaborative efforts in maintaining the shopping center's smooth functioning.

#### Administration

Administrative staff share experiences related to documentation, communication channels, and process adherence. Their input helps identify administrative bottlenecks that might hinder effective interdepartmental cooperation.

# **Legal Department**

Legal experts contribute by shedding light on regulatory compliance, contractual requirements, and potential legal risks. Their participation ensures that streamlined processes remain within legal boundaries.

#### **Maintenance Team**

Maintenance personnel highlight the significance of timely response and coordination to address facility-related issues. Their insights underline how breakdowns in interdepartmental communication can impact maintenance operations and overall tenant satisfaction.

#### **Senior Staff Decision Makers**

The involvement of senior staff decision makers, such as department heads and executives, ensures alignment between proposed solutions and the shopping center's strategic goals. Their support is crucial for implementing changes that impact multiple departments.

#### **Tenants**

Including tenant representatives in the research enriches the understanding of challenges faced by businesses operating within the shopping center. Tenant perspectives contribute insights into the real-world implications of interdepartmental processes on their operations, customer experiences, and overall success.

The participation of diverse stakeholders, including department representatives, senior staff decision makers, and even tenants, enriches the action research on streamlining interdepartmental processes in shopping center management. By collectively addressing challenges and exploring solutions, this inclusive approach ensures that the resulting strategies are comprehensive, impactful, and well-aligned with the goals of enhancing operational efficiency and tenant satisfaction within the shopping center.

The methodology of the action research conducted to streamline interdepartmental processes in shopping center management involves a diverse array of participants from various departments, senior staff decision makers, and tenants. This comprehensive approach ensures a well-rounded

understanding of challenges and the co-creation of effective solutions for enhancing interdepartmental collaboration and operational efficiency within the shopping center.

#### **Selection Criteria**

Participants were selected based on their roles, responsibilities, and expertise relevant to the research focus. Representatives from essential departments, including leasing, finance, operations, administration, legal, and maintenance, were identified. Senior staff decision makers, such as department heads and executives, were chosen to provide strategic insights. Tenant representatives were included to capture external stakeholder perspectives.

# **Departmental Representatives**

Each major department nominated representatives who have a deep understanding of their department's operations and communication challenges. These participants were chosen for their ability to provide specific insights into the department's interactions with other units and the impact of interdepartmental processes on their functions.

# **Leasing Department**

Leasing professionals who handle tenant relations, negotiations, and occupancy were identified.

These individuals possess the experience to shed light on the intricacies of tenant interactions and how streamlined processes can impact tenant satisfaction.

# **Finance Department**

Financial experts, including controllers and financial analysts, were selected to contribute insights into budgeting, cost management, and financial implications of proposed changes. Their expertise ensures a thorough understanding of financial implications.

# **Operations Team**

The operations team members responsible for facility management, tenant interactions, and daily operations were included. Their perspectives highlight the practical challenges faced and how smoother interdepartmental processes can lead to improved operations.

#### Administration

Administrative personnel, including office managers and administrative assistants, participated to provide insights into documentation procedures, communication channels, and administrative bottlenecks that might affect interdepartmental coordination.

# **Legal Department**

Legal experts, such as in-house counsel or legal advisors, were involved to offer insights into legal considerations, compliance, and contractual matters impacted by streamlined processes.

#### **Maintenance Team**

Maintenance staff responsible for timely response and facility upkeep contributed their practical experiences. Their insights illuminated the importance of interdepartmental communication in ensuring efficient maintenance operations.

# **Senior Staff Decision Makers**

Senior staff decision makers, such as department heads and executives, were actively engaged to align proposed solutions with the shopping center's strategic goals. Their input ensured the feasibility and alignment of recommendations.

# **Tenant Representatives**

Tenant representatives were selected in consultation with tenant associations or business owners.

They shared their experiences as tenants within the shopping center, providing insights into how

interdepartmental processes affect their operations, customer interactions, and overall business success.

# **Collaborative Workshops**

Collaborative workshops, focus groups, and meetings were conducted to facilitate open dialogue among participants. These sessions encouraged the sharing of experiences, challenges, and potential solutions, fostering a collaborative environment for problem-solving.

# **Inclusive Engagement**

The methodology embraced an inclusive approach by engaging both internal stakeholders (departmental representatives, senior staff) and external stakeholders (tenants). This approach ensured that the research captured a holistic view of challenges and potential improvements.

Ethical Considerations: Consent was obtained from all participants before their involvement in the research. Confidentiality and anonymity were maintained to create a safe space for sharing insights and concerns.

## Description of intervention (treatment) and/or data collection tool(s)/material(s)

The action research aimed at streamlining interdepartmental processes in shopping center management employs a comprehensive methodology that includes focus groups, a direct approach, surveys utilizing various sampling methods, and collaborative workshops. This approach ensures a holistic understanding of challenges and active involvement from participants representing all departments, senior staff decision makers, and tenants.

# Methodology, Mixed-Methods Approach

The research utilizes a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative techniques to gain a nuanced perspective on interdepartmental processes and collaboration within the shopping center.

# **Intervention (Treatment): Collaborative Workshops and Focus Groups**

Collaborative workshops serve as an intervention by providing a platform for participants to engage in open discussions, share experiences, and collaboratively identify process improvement opportunities. Focus groups, consisting of representatives from different departments, senior staff, and tenants, facilitate in-depth conversations to uncover challenges and generate potential solutions.

#### **Data Collection Tools/Materials:**

Semi-Structured Interviews: One-on-one semi-structured interviews are conducted with representatives from each department, senior staff decision makers, and tenant representatives. These interviews delve into their experiences, perceptions of interdepartmental processes, and suggestions for improvements.

# Surveys are distributed to a wide range of participants using various sampling methods:

- Random Sampling, A subset of participants from different departments and levels are randomly selected to ensure unbiased representation.
- Convenience Sampling, Participants are selected based on their accessibility and willingness to participate, allowing for a diverse range of perspectives.
- Snowball Sampling, initially identified participants refer additional participants, enabling the inclusion of individuals with specific insights or experiences.

# **Collaborative Workshop Documentation**

Workshop materials, including recorded discussions, notes, and outcomes, provide a rich source of qualitative data on challenges and potential solutions.

# **Focus Group Discussions**

Focus group discussions are recorded and transcribed, capturing detailed insights into participants' perceptions, experiences, and recommendations.

# **Process Mapping**

Visual representation of current interdepartmental processes helps identify pain points and areas needing streamlining.

# **Document Analysis**

Interdepartmental communication protocols, process manuals, and tenant feedback reports are analyzed to identify gaps and communication breakdowns.

#### **Observations**

Researchers observe workshop dynamics, participant engagement, and non-verbal cues to understand group interactions.

# **Data Analysis**

Qualitative data from interviews, focus group discussions, and collaborative workshops are analyzed thematically to identify recurring patterns and insights. Quantitative survey data is analyzed using statistical techniques to derive numerical insights into communication challenges, coordination efficiency, and preferred solutions.

#### **Ethical Considerations:**

Informed consent is obtained from all participants, ensuring their willingness to contribute. Confidentiality and anonymity are maintained in data collection, analysis, and reporting to uphold participants' privacy.

The mixed-methods approach, involving focus groups, direct interviews, surveys using diverse sampling methods, and collaborative workshops, creates a comprehensive methodology for the action research. This method ensures a broad range of perspectives from all departments, senior staff decision makers, and tenants. By engaging participants in various ways, the research results in actionable recommendations for enhancing interdepartmental processes within the shopping center management.

# **Collaborative Dialogue**

Collaborative workshops, focus groups, and regular meetings offer a platform for participants to share experiences, challenges, and potential solutions. The varied perspectives enable participants to identify common pain points and devise strategies for improved collaboration.

#### **Inclusive Engagement**

Engaging tenants in the action research extends the scope beyond internal stakeholders. Tenants provide valuable feedback on how interdepartmental processes impact their operations and customer interactions. This involvement enhances the shopping center's understanding of tenant needs and contributes to a more holistic solution.

# Detailed and descriptive data collection procedure.

Managing a shopping center efficiently is crucial to ensuring the success and profitability of this type of business. To achieve this goal, it is necessary to streamline interdepartmental processes,

enable seamless coordination and communication among departments, and help them all work together to achieve the common goal of providing the best possible customer experience. This article outlines the methodology and data collection procedure for an action research project aimed at streamlining interdepartmental processes in shopping center management.

#### **Initial surveys:**

The project will begin with an initial survey distributed to all participants in the shopping center's management team, including department heads, leasing managers, finance teams, operations personnel, administrative staff, legal advisors, maintenance teams, senior staff decision-makers, and tenants. The purpose of these surveys will be to obtain an initial understanding of perceptions and challenges related to the existing interdepartmental processes. The survey will include closed and open-ended questions and will be distributed in an anonymous format to encourage participants to share their honest opinions without fear of retribution.

The survey will ask participants about their experience with interdepartmental processes, including their satisfaction with current systems, procedures, and communication methods. The survey will also inquire about specific challenges faced by departments and areas where interdepartmental coordination can be improved. The data collected will be analyzed to identify common themes and areas where changes are needed.

#### Interviews:

Following the initial survey, the research team will conduct one-on-one interviews with selected participants, including department heads, senior staff decision-makers, and tenants. Participants will be chosen based on their relevance to the project and their involvement in the shopping center's management team.

The interviews will have open-ended questions, aimed at gathering detailed feedback on specific challenges, areas of improvement, and potential solutions related to interdepartmental processes. The interviews will be carried out in a confidential and secure environment to facilitate open and honest conversations.

The interviews will provide in-depth insights, reveal new perspectives, and allow for discussions of more complex issues. Participants will have the opportunity to discuss specific issues from their perspective, and the research team will be able to probe further into specific areas that need improvement.

# Focus group discussions:

The research team will conduct focus group discussions with selected participants, including department heads, leasing managers, finance teams, operations personnel, administrative staff, legal advisors, maintenance teams, senior staff decision-makers, and tenants. The focus groups will focus on identifying specific challenges and opportunities related to interdepartmental coordination.

Participants in focus groups will be chosen based on their active participation and contribution to the shopping center's management team. Participants will have the opportunity to share their ideas, experiences, and perspectives on the challenges they face related to interdepartmental coordination. Discussions will be facilitated by the research team and follow an organized structure to identify areas for improvement and prioritize solutions.

#### **Document review:**

The research team will conduct a thorough review of existing documentation, including process manuals, reports, and other relevant documents. The purpose of document review is to gain a better

understanding of the current process flows, challenges that different departments face, and the approaches used to manage interdepartmental relationships.

The research team will analyze the documents to identify gaps, inconsistencies, and areas for improvement. The analysis will provide an additional perspective on the shopping center's current state of interdepartmental coordination.

#### **Observation:**

The research team will conduct observations of the shopping center's daily operations to gain a better understanding of the existing interdepartmental processes. Observations will enable the team to identify the key obstacles to effective interdepartmental coordination and potential areas for improvement.

Observations will be conducted in a non-intrusive manner, and confidentiality will be respected.

The data collected will help to validate and confirm information gathered through surveys, interviews, focus groups, and document review.

### **Data Analysis & Presentation of Results of Findings**

Data Analysis and Presentation of Results in Streamlining Interdepartmental Processes in Shopping Center Management

Effective management of a shopping center relies on efficient interdepartmental collaboration and coordination. To address challenges and optimize these processes, an action research project was conducted, aiming to streamline interdepartmental processes in shopping center management. After collecting data through various methods, a rigorous data analysis process was employed to identify key findings, patterns, and insights, which were subsequently presented to provide a

comprehensive understanding of the challenges and potential solutions. This article outlines the data analysis process and the presentation of results in the context of the action research project.

# **Quantitative Analysis:**

The first phase of data analysis focused on the quantitative data collected from surveys distributed to participants. These surveys aimed to quantify participants' perceptions, challenges, and satisfaction levels related to interdepartmental processes. Descriptive statistics such as frequencies, means, and percentages were computed to summarize participants' responses to closed-ended questions. This analysis provided a quantitative overview of the data, highlighting the prevalence of certain challenges and participants' overall sentiment.

The quantitative analysis enabled the research team to identify trends and commonalities among participants' responses. It allowed for an initial understanding of the extent to which challenges in interdepartmental processes were experienced across the organization. However, this quantitative analysis only scratched the surface of the complexities underlying these challenges. To delve deeper and gain a nuanced understanding, qualitative analysis techniques were employed.

#### **Qualitative Analysis:**

The qualitative data collected from interviews, focus groups, and open-ended survey responses were subjected to thematic analysis. Thematic analysis is a systematic method of identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns (themes) within qualitative data.

This process involved several stages:

**Data Familiarization** 

Researchers immersed themselves in the data by repeatedly reading and reviewing transcripts to gain a deep understanding of participants' perspectives. This immersion ensured that the analysis was rooted in the participants' own words and experiences.

Coding

Key ideas, concepts, and themes within the qualitative data were identified and labeled with codes. These codes represented patterns in the data, capturing recurring thoughts, emotions, and perspectives expressed by participants. Codes could be descriptive (capturing surface-level characteristics), interpretive (capturing underlying meanings), or conceptual (capturing abstract concepts).

Theme Development

Codes were grouped into larger themes that reflected broader patterns and insights. This process involved sorting codes into categories that captured the essence of participants' experiences and challenges. Themes emerged iteratively through an ongoing process of refinement and cross-checking.

**Data Interpretation** 

Researchers interpreted the themes by considering their implications, relationships, and relevance to the research questions. The aim was to uncover the deeper meanings and connections underlying the data.

#### Data Validation

The entire analysis process was reviewed and validated by multiple researchers to ensure objectivity and rigor. This validation step helped mitigate potential biases and ensured the reliability of the findings.

# **Key Findings:**

Thematic analysis revealed key findings related to the challenges faced in interdepartmental processes within shopping center management. These findings encompassed a range of issues, including communication breakdowns, bureaucratic obstacles, conflicting priorities, technology integration gaps, and more. The analysis allowed researchers to gain a comprehensive understanding of the root causes of these challenges and their implications for the shopping center's operations, tenant satisfaction, and overall success.

#### **Presentation of Results:**

The presentation of results aimed to present the findings in a clear, organized, and engaging manner. It incorporated both qualitative insights and quantitative summaries to offer a holistic view of the research outcomes. The presentation format included the following elements:

Narrative Descriptions: Qualitative findings were presented through narrative descriptions of the identified themes and patterns. Direct quotes from participants' interviews and focus group discussions were used to highlight key points. These narratives brought participants' voices and experiences to the forefront.

# **Graphs and Charts**

Quantitative data, such as survey responses, were visually represented using graphs, charts, and tables. These visual aids enhanced the understanding of participants' perceptions and preferences, making complex data more accessible.

# **Comparative Analysis**

The research team compared findings across departments, senior staff, and tenant groups to identify similarities, differences, and trends. This comparative analysis provided insights into how challenges varied across different segments of the shopping center's stakeholders.

#### Recommendations

Based on the identified challenges and insights, the presentation included actionable recommendations for streamlining interdepartmental processes. These recommendations were tailored to address specific issues highlighted by the research and aimed at improving collaboration and efficiency.

# **Implications**

The presentation discussed the implications of the findings for shopping center management, tenant satisfaction, operational efficiency, and overall success. It explored how addressing interdepartmental challenges could lead to tangible improvements and positive outcomes.

#### Limitations

Acknowledging the limitations of the study was an essential part of the presentation. Researchers transparently discussed factors such as sample size, potential biases, and areas for future research. Addressing limitations enhanced the credibility of the research findings.

The data analysis and presentation of results in the action research project on streamlining interdepartmental processes provided a comprehensive understanding of the challenges faced within shopping center management. By integrating qualitative insights with quantitative data, the research offered a multi-dimensional perspective on the complexities of interdepartmental collaboration. The findings unveiled the underlying causes of challenges and paved the way for evidence-based recommendations to enhance communication, coordination, and operational efficiency. Ultimately, this endeavor contributed not only to the specific shopping center's improvement but also to the broader knowledge base of effective interdepartmental management practices.

# **Conclusions, Implications and Recommendations**

Conclusions, Implications, and Recommendations for Streamlining Interdepartmental Processes in Shopping Center Management

In the dynamic realm of shopping center management, the convoluted bureaucratic structures, communication breakdowns, lack of technology integration, conflicting priorities, inadequate training, and senior management's industry knowledge gaps have been identified as critical challenges. This study underscores the significance of addressing these issues to streamline interdepartmental processes, enhance operational efficiency, and elevate tenant satisfaction. The research sheds light on several noteworthy conclusions, implications, and recommendations.

#### **Conclusions:**

The study establishes that the existing bureaucratic setup and hierarchical decision-making processes hinder the speed and effectiveness of decision-making within shopping center management. Delays in obtaining approvals for tenant-related matters often arise from complex

bureaucratic procedures, leading to tenant dissatisfaction, revenue losses and operational inefficiencies. Communication breakdowns, both within and between departments, contribute to misunderstandings, incorrect decisions, and compromised tenant satisfaction. The absence of technology integration exacerbates delays, as manual processes impede efficient information sharing and approval procedures. Conflicting priorities among departments lead to tension and hinder seamless decision-making. Inadequate training for staff members results in errors, oversights, and compromised daily operations. Additionally, senior management's lack of industry knowledge can hinder decisions aligning with industry needs, affecting the center's growth and tenant satisfaction.

# **Implications:**

The implications of these findings are substantial. Shopping centers need to recognize that the identified challenges collectively impact their overall operational efficiency, tenant satisfaction, and financial performance. Addressing these challenges is not only crucial for maintaining tenant relationships but also for remaining competitive in the ever-evolving retail landscape. Failure to streamline interdepartmental processes can lead to tenant churn, revenue losses, and a tarnished reputation.

#### **Recommendations:**

To overcome these challenges and achieve streamlined interdepartmental processes, the following recommendations are proposed:

# **Bureaucracy and Decision-Making:**

Implement a streamlined decision-making framework that reduces unnecessary layers of approval, expediting critical processes.

Establish clear delegation of decision-making authority based on the complexity and urgency of the issue.

#### **Communication Enhancement:**

Institute regular cross-departmental meetings to foster open communication and information sharing.

Develop a centralized communication platform that facilitates quick reporting and issue resolution.

**Technology Integration:** 

Invest in integrated property management software and tenant portals to enable electronic sharing of information and approvals.

Provide comprehensive training to staff on utilizing technology tools effectively.

# **Conflict Resolution:**

Foster a culture of collaboration and shared objectives among departments through joint goal-setting sessions.

Establish a cross-functional team to address conflicts and align priorities when necessary.

# **Training and Skill Development:**

Design comprehensive training programs that cover cross-departmental processes, ensuring staff competence and reducing errors.

Provide ongoing training to keep staff updated on industry trends and best practices.

# **Industry Knowledge for Senior Management:**

Facilitate industry-focused training sessions for senior management to bridge knowledge gaps and align decisions with industry needs.

Encourage senior management to engage with industry experts and associations to stay informed.

# **External Expertise:**

When industry expertise is lacking, consider collaborating with consultants or professionals who specialize in shopping center management.

Regularly assess the outcomes of these collaborations and integrate successful strategies into the center's operations.

In conclusion, streamlining interdepartmental processes in shopping center management is essential for efficient decision-making, tenant satisfaction, and long-term success. Addressing bureaucracy, improving communication, integrating technology, resolving conflicting priorities, enhancing training, and augmenting senior management's industry knowledge are critical steps in achieving these objectives. By implementing these recommendations, shopping centers can navigate challenges effectively, foster a cohesive organizational environment, and create a thriving shopping experience for tenants and visitors alike.

## **References:**

- <a href="https://www.academia.edu/1284391/The\_development\_of\_an\_Interdepartmental\_Relatio">https://www.academia.edu/1284391/The\_development\_of\_an\_Interdepartmental\_Relatio</a>
  <a href="mailto:nship\_Framework\_Soft\_Internal\_Marketing\_Architecture\_SIMA\_and\_Strategy\_Development\_Tool">nship\_Framework\_Soft\_Internal\_Marketing\_Architecture\_SIMA\_and\_Strategy\_Development\_Tool</a>
- Cross, R., Borgatti, S. P., & Parker, A. (2002). Making invisible work visible: Using social network analysis to support strategic collaboration. California Management Review, 44(2), 25-46.
- Dabholkar, P. A. (2005). Interdepartmental conflict, market-oriented culture, and customer-oriented strategy: The danger of not aligning departments. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 33(4), 514-527.
- Gronroos, C. (1994). From marketing mix to relationship marketing: Towards a paradigm shift in marketing. Management Decision, 32(2), 4-20.
- Langfred, C. W. (2007). The downside of self-management: A longitudinal study of the effects of conflict on trust, autonomy, and task interdependence in cross-functional teams. Journal of Applied Psychology, 92(4), 885-895.
- Makadok, R. (2001). Toward a synthesis of the resource-based and dynamic-capability views of rent creation. Strategic Management Journal, 22(5), 387-401.
- McAllister, D. J. (1995). Affect- and cognition-based trust as foundations for interpersonal cooperation in organizations. Academy of Management Journal, 38(1), 24-59.
- Mintzberg, H. (1994). The fall and rise of strategic planning. Harvard Business Review, 72(1), 107-114.
- Nutt, P. C. (1999). Surprising but true: Half the decisions in organizations fail. Academy of Management Executive, 13(4), 75-90.

- Rafiq, M., & Ahmed, P. K. (1993). The scope of internal marketing: Defining the boundary between marketing and human resource management. Journal of Marketing Management, 9(3), 219-232.
- Bendersky, C., & Hwang, A. (2014). When and how boundary spanning search affects organizational innovation. Academy of Management Journal, 57(6), 1642-1668.
- Hofstede, G. (1984). Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values. Sage.
- Jablin, F. M. (2001). Organizational entry, assimilation, and disengagement/exit.

  Communication Theory, 11(2), 105-125.
- Kotler, P., Bowen, J. T., & Makens, J. C. (2017). Marketing for hospitality and tourism. Pearson.
- Leonardi, P. M. (2012). Materiality, sociomateriality, and socio-technical systems: What do these terms mean? How are they related? Do we need them? In S. Venturini, M. Latour,
  & L. U. Jensen (Eds.), Mind, Culture, and Activity (Vol. 19, No. 2, pp. 170-183).
  Routledge.
- March, J. G., & Simon, H. A. (1958). Organizations. John Wiley & Sons.